



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/894,874	06/29/2001	Soon Sung Yoo	041501-5432	041501-5432 3407	
9629	7590 10/07/2003		EXAM	INER	
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW			KIELIN, BRIK J		
	ON, DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2813		

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	— ···			
Office Action Summary		09/894,874	YOO ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Erik Kielin	2813				
	Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on th cov r sheet with the correspondenc address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 J	ulv 2003 .					
2a)⊠	<u> </u>	is action is non-final.					
3)							
Dispositi	on of Claims	<b>,</b>					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-18 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,19 and 20</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>							
* S	application from the International Bui See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (P				

Art Unit: 2813

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action responds to the Amendment filed 31 July 2003 (Paper No. 8).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5,636,329 (Sukegawa et al.).

Sukegawa discloses a pad structure 100 (called "terminals" 100 in Sukegawa) for a liquid crystal display, comprising:

a substrate 1;

a plurality of gate pads and data pads 2-1 formed on the substrate 1 (col. 4, first paragraph; Figs. 3A, 3B);

an insulating film 3, 9 formed on surfaces of the gate pads and data pads 2-1;

a plurality of transparent conductive layers 8 electrically connected to the gate pads and the data pads 2-1; and

an anisotropic conductive film 10 formed on the transparent conductive layers 8 to cover entire upper and side surfaces of the transparent conductive layers (Figs. 3A-3E; col. 6, lines 9-38).

Art Unit: 2813

Regarding claim 2, the insulating film 3, 9 extends over side surfaces and upper surfaces of the gate pads and the data pads 2-1.

Regarding claim 3, the insulating film 3, 9 contacts the substrate 1 at end portions of the gate pads and data pads 2-1 (Figs. 3A, 3E).

Regarding claim 4, the transparent conductive layer 8 includes indium tin oxide (col. 5, lines 6-8).

Regarding claim 5, the insulating film 3, 9 is formed by laminating a gate insulating film 3 and a protective film 9.

Regarding claim 19, **Sukegawa** discloses a pad structure for a liquid crystal display, comprising:

a substrate 1;

at least one pad 2-1 formed on the substrate;

an insulating film 3, 9 formed on the pad, the insulating film covering side surfaces of the pad 2-1 and a portion of the substrate 1 adjacent to the side surfaces of the pad (Fig. 3A; col. 6, lines 9-38); and

at least one conductive layer 7-2, 8 connected to the pad through contact holes 6 defined through the insulating film.

Regarding claim 20, **Sukegawa** discloses a liquid crystal display formed on a substrate 1, comprising:

an active region defined at a first portion of the substrate (called "DISPLAY PORTION" IN Fig. 3e); and

Art Unit: 2813

a pad contact area (called "terminal 100") defined on a second portion of the substrate adjacent to the active region (Fig. 3D), the pad contact area including:

at least one pad 2-1 formed on the substrate 1,

an insulating film 3, 9 formed on the pad 2-1,

at least one conductive layer 7-2, 8 connected to the pad 2-1 through contact holes 6 defined through the insulating film 3, 9, wherein the insulating film 3, 9 covers side surfaces of the pad and a portion of the substrate adjacent to the side surfaces of the pad (Fig. 3A; col. 6, lines 9-38).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Sukegawa** et al. in view of Applicant's admitted prior art (**APA**).

Regarding independent claim 6, **Sukegawa** discloses a pad structure for a liquid crystal display including a pad contact area and an anisotropic conductive film deposit area, the pad structure comprising:

a tape carrier package layer 31a, 31b (of tape carrier package 300) to receive a driving signal col. 5, lines 34-35; Fig. 3D);

Art Unit: 2813

an anisotropic conductive film 10 formed on a lower portion of the tape carrier package layer 31a, 31b and covering at least the pad contact area 2-1 of the liquid crystal display (Figs. 3D, 3E);

an insulating film 3, 9 defining a plurality of contact holes 6 therethrough, the insulating film 3, 9 disposed on a lower portion of the anisotropic conductive film 8 in the pad contact area 2-1 of the liquid crystal display;

a plurality of gate and data pads 2-1; and

a transparent conductive layer 8 electrically connecting the gate and data pads 2-1 to the anisotropic conductive film 10 through the contact holes 6, wherein upper and side surfaces of the gate and data pads 2-1 are completely covered by the insulating film 3, 9 and the transparent conductive layer 8.

Sukegawa, does not teach that the pad structure includes a grinding area.

APA teaches that it is known in the art for a pad structure to have a grinding area II

(APA prior art Figs. 1 and 2; instant specification paragraphs [0010]-[0013]).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to have a grinding area in the pad structure of **Sukegawa**, because **APA** teaches that this is conventional in the art.

Regarding claim 7, Sukegawa discloses that the insulating film 3, 9 is formed on side surfaces and upper parts of the gate and data pads 2-1.

Regarding claim 8, **Sukegawa** discloses that the gate and data pads are formed on a substrate, and the insulating film contacts the substrate at end portions of the gate pads and data pads.

Regarding claim 9, **Sukegawa** does not teach that the gate insulating film is formed between the gate and data pads.

**APA** Fig. 2 shows that this is a standard configuration.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to form an insulation film between the gate and data pads to prevent electrical short therebetween and because APA teaches that this is a conventional configuration.

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 31 July 2003 (Paper No. 8) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Sukegawa does not disclose an "anisotropic film formed to cover entire upper and side surfaces of the transparent conductive layers." Examiner respectfully disagrees. Sukegawa shows this in, *inter alia*, Fig. 3E. Some upper and side surfaces are clearly shown in Fig. 3E of Sukegawa to be entirely covered. The instant claims, by contrast, do not indicate **which** of the upper and side surfaces of the transparent conductive layers are entirely covered and which are not. Similarly, Sukegawa shows several ends of the metal film 2 in Fig. 3A, for example at the corners; yet, the instant claims do not indicate **which** ends are covered.

Note, in regard to claim scope, it has been held that "The name of the game is the claim." See *In re Hiniker Co.*, 47 USPQ2d 1523, 1529 (Fed. Cir. 1998)." Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Accordingly, the claims must make clear what are the upper surfaces, side surfaces, and ends of the various

films. As presently written, Sukegawa properly reads on the claimed limitations alone, as indicated and, in combination with Applicant's admitted prior art, make the remaining claim limitations obvious.

Applicant argues that conventional wisdom is not a suggestion to combine. Examiner respectfully disagrees. In the absence of a teaching away from conventional wisdom, one of ordinary skill would be especially motivated to use conventional wisdom because it saved enormous amounts of time, money, and labor involved in research to develop new ways to accomplish the same feat. In short, why re-invent the wheel?

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erik Kielin whose telephone number is 703-306-5980. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 - 19:30 on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead, Jr., can be reached at 703-308-4940. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Erik Kielin

Primary Examiner

October 3, 2003